ORIGINAL PAPER

# High-resolution genetic mapping of bacterial blight resistance gene *Xa10*

Keyu Gu · Jatinder Singh Sangha · Yin Li · Zhongchao Yin

Received: 21 June 2007 / Accepted: 17 September 2007 / Published online: 9 October 2007 © Springer-Verlag 2007

Abstract Bacterial blight of rice, caused by Xanthomonas oryzae pv. oryzae (Xoo), is the most devastating disease of rice (Oryza sativa L). Rice lines that carry resistance (R)gene Xa10 confer race-specific resistance to Xoo strains harboring avirulence (Avr) gene avrXa10. Here we report on genetic study, disease evaluation and fine genetic mapping of the Xa10 gene. The inheritance of Xa10-mediated resistance to PXO99<sup>A</sup>(pHM1avrXa10) did not follow typical Mendelian inheritance for single dominant gene in F<sub>2</sub> population derived from IR24  $\times$  IRBB10. A locus might be present in IRBB10 that caused distorted segregation in F<sub>2</sub> population. To eliminate this locus, an F<sub>3</sub> population  $(F_3-65)$  was identified, which showed normal Mendelian segregation ratio of 3:1 for resistance and susceptibility. A new near-isogenic line (F<sub>3</sub>-65-1743) of Xa10 in IR24 genetic background was developed and designated as IRBB10A. IRBB10A retained similar resistance specificity as that of IRBB10 and provided complete resistance to PXO99<sup>A</sup>(pHM1avrXa10) from seedling to adult stages. Linkage analysis using existing RFLP markers and F<sub>2</sub> mapping population mapped the Xa10 locus to the proximal side of E1981S with genetic distance at 0.93 cM. With five

Communicated by Q. Zhang.

K. Gu · J. S. Sangha · Y. Li · Z. Yin (⊠) Temasek Life Sciences Laboratory, 1 Research Link, The National University of Singapore, Singapore 117604, Republic of Singapore e-mail: yinzc@tll.org.sg

Present Address: Y. Li School of Life Sciences, Sun Yat-Sen (Zhongshan) University, Guangzhou, China new RFLP markers developed from the genomic sequence of Nipponbare, *Xa10* was finely mapped at genetic distance of 0.28 cM between proximal marker M491 and distal marker M419 and co-segregated with markers S723 and M604. The physical distance between M491 and M419 on Nipponbare genome is 74 kb. Seven genes have been annotated from this 74-kb region and six of them are possible *Xa10* candidates. The results of this study will be useful in *Xa10* cloning and marker-assisted breeding.

### Introduction

Bacterial blight of rice, caused by *Xanthomonas oryzae* pv. *oryzae* (*Xoo*), is one of the most destructive bacterial diseases of rice and it is especially prevalent in irrigated and rainfed lowland rice growing areas throughout Asia (Mew 1987; Gnanamanickam et al. 1999). The yield losses caused by bacterial blight typically range from 20 to 30% but, in severely infested fields, the disease can cause as high as 50% yield reduction (Ou 1985).

The utilization of resistant varieties carrying R genes is one of the most effective methods to control this disease. The race-specific interaction between rice and *Xoo* is thought to follow the classic gene-for-gene concept (Flor 1971), in which the plant R gene product can recognize or interact with elicitor molecule, presumably encoded by an avirulence (*avr*) gene from the pathogen. In the absence of either the *avr* or the R gene or both, no recognition takes place and disease occurs (Flor 1971). Currently, about 30 Rgenes or loci against *Xoo* have been identified in cultivated and wild rice. Most of these genes provide complete and race-specific resistance to *Xoo* and have been used in rice breeding for bacterial blight resistance (Kinoshita 1995; Lin et al. 1996; Zhang et al. 1998; Khush and Angeles 1999; Gao et al. 2001; Chen et al. 2002; Yang et al. 2003; Gu et al. 2004). Genetic and physical mapping of these R genes not only permit marker-assisted breeding in rice, but also facilitate isolation and characterization of these genes at the molecular level. So far, five dominant R genes, *Xa21* (Song et al. 1995), *Xa1* (Yoshimura et al. 1998), *Xa26* (Sun et al. 2004), *Xa27* (Gu et al. 2005) and *Xa3* (Xiang et al. 2006) and two recessive R genes, *xa5* (Iyer and McCouch 2004) and *xa13* (Chu et al. 2006), have been isolated by map-based cloning.

The *R* gene *Xa10* was originally identified from rice cultivar Cas 209 (Mew et al. 1982; Yoshimura et al. 1983). *Xa10* confers race-specific resistance to only a few Philippine races of bacterial blight pathogens such as PXO86 (R2), PXO112 (R5) and PXO145 (R7) (Yoshimura et al. 1995). *Xa10* was later introgressed into susceptible rice variety IR24 and a near-isogenic line of *Xa10*, IRBB10, was developed for determining the resistance specificity of the *R* gene (Ogawa et al. 1988). The cognate *avrXa10* gene isolated from *Xoo* strain PXO86 encodes a member of AvrBs3/PthA family of type-III effectors (Hopkins et al. 1992). The cloning of *avrXa10* greatly enhanced the understanding on gene-for-gene interactions and facilitated tagging of this resistance locus.

The Xa10 locus was initially mapped to the long arm of chromosome 11 (11L) in the region between proximal RAPD marker O07<sub>2000</sub> (5.3 cM) and distal RFLP marker CDO365 (16.2 cM) (Yoshimura et al. 1995). The *R* locus was later integrated to the region between RFLP markers RG103 (~83 cM) and RG1109 (~91.4 cM) on rice genetic map of double haploid lines (IR64 and Azucena), however, no experiment was carried out to verify the map position (Ramalingam et al. 2003). Chromosome 11L of rice is rich in R genes for disease resistance to bacterial blight. In addition to Xa10, Xa3 (Yoshimura et al. 1992a, b, 1995), Xa4 (Yoshimura et al. 1992a, b, 1995), Xa21 (Ronald et al. 1992), Xa22(t) (Lin et al. 1996), Xa23 (Zhang et al. 1998) and Xa26 (Yang et al. 2003) were also mapped to this chromosome. Among them, Xa21, Xa26 and Xa3 have been isolated and all of them encode leucine-rich repeat (LRR) receptor kinase-like proteins (Song et al. 1995; Sun et al. 2004; Xiang et al. 2006). Xa3 is allelic to Xa26 and they share 92% sequence identity. Xa3/Xa26, Xa4 and Xa22(t) were mapped to the distal end of chromosome 11L. Xa26 co-segregated with R1506 (116.2 cM) while Xa4 was tightly linked with Xa3 (Sun et al. 2004; Yoshimura et al. 1995). Xa21 and Xa23 reside at the middle region of chromosome 11L. Xa21 co-segregated with RG103 (83.0 cM) (Ronald et al. 1992) while Xa23 was mapped to a region between markers RG1109 (91.4-97.3 cM) and G1465 (99.2 cM) (Zhang et al. 1998). Based on the above information, we speculate that the Xa10 locus is flanked by Xa21 and Xa23. Both Xa21 and Xa23 conferred broadspectrum resistance to multiple *Xoo* strains, including six races of the Philippine strains (Khush et al. 1989; Zhang et al. 2001).

In this study, we performed fine genetic mapping of the Xa10 gene with a large mapping population using existing genetic markers as well as markers developed based on the published genomic sequence of Nipponbare. The resistance specificity of Xa10 was also evaluated for different Xoo strains with a newly developed near-isogenic line. The molecular markers identified in this study will facilitate isolation of the Xa10 gene by positional cloning and marker-assisted selection of the R gene in rice breeding.

# Materials and methods

Plant materials and mapping populations

IRBB10 is a near-isogenic line of *Xa10* in IR24 genetic background (Ogawa et al. 1988). Using IRBB10 as male donor and susceptible line IR24 as recipient of *Xa10*, crosses were made for generation of two-related *Xa10* mapping populations. One population consisted of 1,027  $F_2$ individuals and another population contained 247  $F_3$  plants developed from heterozygous plant  $F_2$ -65 (Table 1). Rice plants, including those inoculated with *Xoo* strains, were grown in greenhouse at a temperature of 30°C for 12.5 h (light) and 26°C for 11.5 h (dark).

 Table 1
 Populations generated for fine genetic mapping of the Xa10 locus

Population <sup>a</sup>	Plants		$\chi^2$	Р
	Resistant	Susceptible		
F <sub>2</sub>	890	137	74.5	< 0.001
F <sub>3</sub> -4	464	11	130.4	< 0.001
F <sub>3</sub> -17	183	5	50.1	< 0.001
F <sub>3</sub> -19	157	3	45.6	< 0.001
F <sub>3</sub> -23	597	11	174.4	< 0.001
F <sub>3</sub> -35	95	1	29.4	< 0.001
F <sub>3</sub> -44	121	9	22.7	< 0.001
F <sub>3</sub> -65	189	58	0.304	0.5-0.6
F <sub>4</sub> -1510	32	11	0.085	0.75 < P < 0.8
F <sub>4</sub> -1531	90	31	0.025	0.8 < P < 0.9

<sup>a</sup> The  $F_3$  populations were derived from heterozygous  $F_2$  plants. The  $F_4$  populations  $F_4$ -1510 and  $F_4$ -1531 were derived from heterozygous  $F_3$  plants in  $F_3$ -65

The populations  $F_3$ -4,  $F_3$ -17,  $F_3$ -19,  $F_3$ -23,  $F_3$ -35,  $F_3$ -44,  $F_4$ -1510 and  $F_4$ -1531 were not included in linkage for genetic mapping of the *Xa10* locus

#### Bacterial blight inoculation

All *Xoo* strains were grown at  $28^{\circ}$ C on PSA (Peptone sucrose agar) plates except that  $100 \,\mu$ g/ml spectinomycin was added to the medium for PXO99<sup>A</sup>(pHM1avrXa10). The bacterial inoculum was prepared by suspending bacterial culture in sterile, distilled water at an optical density of 0.5 (OD<sub>600</sub>). Bacterial blight inoculation was carried out according to leaf-clipping method (Kauffman et al. 1973). Disease scoring was measured as described previously (Gu et al. 2004).

#### Probes of RFLP markers

RFLP marker probes were amplified from rice variety Nipponbare by polymerase chain reaction (PCR). PCR amplification was carried out in a reaction of 20 µl containing 0.2 µM of each forward and reverse primers, 2.5 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 0.2 mM dNTP,  $1 \times$  PCR buffer (50 mM KCl, 10 mM Tris, pH 8.3), 10–20 ng template DNA and 1 unit of *Taq* polymerase (Qiagen, Germany) in a PTC-100 thermal cycler (MJ Research, USA). PCR reaction was performed as follows: 94°C for 120 s; followed by 35 cycles at 94°C for 40 s, 55–60°C for 50 s, 72°C for 90 s and finally extended for 5 min at 72°C. The amplified markers were cloned into pGEM T-easy vector (Promega, USA) and verified by DNA sequencing. The DNA primers that were used to amplify the molecular markers are listed in Table 2.

#### Southern blot analysis

Rice genomic DNA was isolated from leaf tissues according to the procedures described previously (Dellaporta et al. 1983). About 2  $\mu$ g of DNA was digested with the appropriate restriction enzymes, separated on 0.8% agarose gel and blotted to Hybond<sup>TM</sup>-N + nylon membrane (Amersham Biosciences, GE healthcare, USA). DNA hybridization was conducted according to standard procedures (Sambrook et al. 1989). DNA probes were labeled with [<sup>32</sup>P]-dCTP using Rediprime II random prime labeling system (Amersham Biosciences).

# Results

Inheritance of Xa10 and generation of mapping populations

Our preliminary data indicated that the inheritance of Xa10 showed distortion in the segregation of resistance and susceptibility in different  $F_2$  populations. The segregation ratio was 7:1-9:1 when original incompatible Xoo strains PXO86 (R2) was chosen to phenotype for Xa10, which did not follow Mendelian segregation ratio of 3:1 for inheritance of single dominant locus (data not shown). To investigate whether there are two linked R genes that might recognize different avr gene products from PXO86 or the same AvrXa10 effector, we chose PXO99<sup>A</sup> (pHM1avrXa10) to phenotype for Xa10. IRBB10 was susceptible to PXO99<sup>A</sup> but showed complete resistance to PXO99<sup>A</sup> (pHM1avrXa10) that carried the avrXa10 gene in a cosmid plasmid (Table 3; Yang et al., 2000). The recurrent parental line IR24 was susceptible to both Xoo strains (Table 3). These results indicate that rice plants with Xa10 showed race-specific resistance to PXO99<sup>A</sup> that harbors *avrXa10*. PXO99<sup>A</sup> (pHM1avrXa10) was then used in phenotyping Xa10 during genetic analysis and mapping population development. The F<sub>1</sub> plants derived from the crosses between IR24 and IRBB10 conferred complete resistance to  $PXO99^{A}(pHM1avrXa10)$  (data not shown). A large  $F_{2}$ population was generated for genetic analysis and mapping of the Xa10 locus. Phenotypic evaluation showed that the F<sub>2</sub> population consisted of 890 resistant and 137 susceptible plants. This segregation still did not follow Mendelian segregation ratio of 3:1 for inheritance of single dominant locus ( $\chi^2 = 74.5$ , P < 0.001) (Table 1). This distortion in the Xa10 inheritance might be due to a locus that is linked to Xa10 and affected the fertility or survival of susceptible  $F_2$ zygotes (Genotype: xa10/xa10). The presence of this

<b>Table 2</b> Molecular markersdeveloped for fine genetic	Marker	PCR primer $(5'-3')^a$	Size (bp)	Restriction enzyme <sup>b</sup>
<ul> <li>mapping of <i>Xa10</i></li> <li><sup>a</sup> Primers used to amplify molecular markers from Nipponbare. F, forward primer; R, reverse primer</li> <li><sup>b</sup> Restriction endonuclease that revealed polymorphism between IR24 and IRBB10 in RFLP analysis</li> </ul>	M582	F: CTTGATTTCTAACTCTTCTGCTC R: TTTGTCTTTCTCTCTATGCAGG	582	HindIII or DraI
	M491	F: AGTAATGGATGCAGTGTGGGGC R: TTGTTTGCTCACTCCACCCTTC	491	StyI
	M604	F: CAACGCCTATCTTCTGCATTTC R: GTGACCCTAGTTTCTGGTTATG	604	XbaI
	M419	F: CATCAGCAACCCCGTGAAAACG R: GATGGCAATGTACCGCGAATAC	419	PvuII
	M593	F: TCAGGGAGATGTTCTGGCCTTC R: CTTATTCGAGGATAGTCGTCAC	593	AvaII

Xoo strain	Origin	Lesion length (cm) and resistance score <sup>a</sup>		
		IRBB10	IRBB10A	IR24
PXO99 <sup>A</sup>	Philippines	$23.7 \pm 2.5$ (S)	$22.2 \pm 3.8$ (S)	$23.6 \pm 3.3$ (S)
PXO99 <sup>A</sup> (pHM1avrXa10) <sup>b</sup>	Yang et al. (2000)	$0.2\pm0.2~(\mathrm{R})$	$0.2\pm0.1~(\mathrm{R})$	$28.2 \pm 3.8$ (S)
PXO86 (R2)	Philippines	$0.1\pm0.0(\mathrm{R})$	$0.4 \pm 0.4$ (R)	$21.4 \pm 3.2$ (S)
PXO112 (R5)	Philippines	$0.1\pm0.0(\mathrm{R})$	$0.1 \pm 0.0 (\text{R})$	$12.8 \pm 3.0$ (S)
Aust-2031	Australia	$5.3 \pm 1.2$ (MR)	$3.6 \pm 1.5$ (MR)	$5.2 \pm 1.1$ (MR)
Aust-R3	Australia	$7.5\pm1.6~(\mathrm{MS})$	$6.1 \pm 2.2 (\text{MS})$	$5.5\pm1.3$ (MR)
R-7	Thailand	$6.5 \pm 3.1  (MS)$	$7.3 \pm 2.1  (MS)$	$10.1 \pm 6.7$ (S)
PXO79 (R3)	Philippines	$17.9 \pm 3.3$ (S)	$22.9 \pm 3.4$ (S)	$23.3 \pm 4.3$ (S)
PXO71 (R4)	Philippines	$23.4 \pm 5.4$ (S)	$23.0 \pm 4.0$ (S)	$23.4 \pm 3.3$ (S)
PXO113 (R4)	Philippines	$19.7 \pm 3.8$ (S)	$17.6 \pm 3.2$ (S)	$18.0 \pm 2.5$ (S)

Table 3 Disease evaluation of IRBB10 and F<sub>3</sub>-65-1743 (IRBB10A) to Xoo strains

<sup>a</sup> The lesion length and the standard deviation of the mean were the average of 16 infected leaves. For score: *R* resistant, 0 cm < LL < 3.0 cm; MR, moderately resistant, 3.0 cm < LL < 6.0 cm; MS, moderately susceptible, 6.0 cm < LL < 9.0 cm; S, susceptible, lesion length > 9.0 cm

<sup>b</sup> The strain PXO99<sup>A</sup>(pHM1avrXa10) was designated as PXO99(pZWavrXa10) in Yang et al. (2000)

putative locus is assumed on the fact that more of the heterozygous  $F_2$  plants (Genotype: *Xa10/xa10*) but only a few of the homozygous plants (Genotype: *Xa10/Xa10* or *xa10/xa10*) showed partial fertility (Table 4).

The putative locus was able to be separated from *Xa10* in subsequent generations. Seven F<sub>2</sub> plants that were heterozygous at the *Xa10* locus were randomly selected to generate F<sub>3</sub> segregation populations. One of the F<sub>3</sub> populations (F<sub>3</sub>-65) showed typical Mendelian segregation ratio of 3:1 for inheritance of single dominant *R* gene against PXO99<sup>A</sup> (pHM1avrXa10) (*R*:*S* = 189:58,  $\chi^2$  = 0.304, 0.5 < *P* < 0.6) (Table 1). The remaining six F<sub>3</sub> segregation populations still showed distortion for segregation of resistance and susceptibility (Table 1). The inheritance of the resistance locus as single dominant *R* gene to PXO99<sup>A</sup> (pHM1avrXa10) in F<sub>3</sub>-65 was further verified from the phenotypic evaluation of two F<sub>4</sub> segregation populations (F<sub>4</sub>-1510, *R*:*S* = 32:11,  $\chi^2$  = 0.085, 0.75 < *P* < 0.8; F<sub>4</sub>-1531, *R*:*S* = 90:31,  $\chi^2$  = 0.025, 0.8 < *P* < 0.9 ) (Table 1).

To further investigate whether the resistance locus in the  $F_3$ -65 population still retains *Xa10* resistance specificity, a homozygous plant ( $F_3$ -65-1743) from the  $F_3$ -65 population was inoculated with various *Xoo* strains. Disease evaluation

**Table 4**Summary of fertility of the  $F_2$  plants in the mapping population

Genotype	Complete fertile plants	Partial fertile plants
Xa10/Xa10	365	7
Xa10/xa10	318	200
xa10/xa10	131	6

Fertility was scored according to the following standard: complete fertile, above 90% of spikelets on each panicle were set with seeds; partile fertile, less than 90% of spikelets on each panicle were set with seeds showed that line  $F_3$ -65-1743 retained similar resistance specificity as that of IRBB10 (Table 3). Line  $F_3$ -65-1743 can be used as a new near-isogenic line of *Xa10* in IR24 genetic background, which was designated as IRBB10A. The influence of plant development on *Xa10*-mediated resistance to bacterial blight was investigated by inoculating lines IRBB10A and IR24 with PXO99<sup>A</sup>(pHM1avrXa10) at different developmental stages. IRBB10A conferred complete resistance to PXO99<sup>A</sup>(pHM1avrXa10) from seedling to adult stages (Fig. 1).



**Fig. 1** Resistance of *Xa10* to *Xoo* strain PXO99<sup>A</sup>(pHM1avrXa10) at different developmental stages. New developed near-isogenic line IRBB10A of *Xa10* in IR24 genetic background and recurrent susceptible parental line IR24 were inoculated with PXO99<sup>A</sup>(pHM1avrXa10) at different developmental stages from 2 to 8 weeks. Bacterial inoculation was carried out as described in the text. Only fully expanded leaves of the main culm (from seedling to active tillering stage) or each tiller (after active tillering stage) were selected for inoculation. The lesion lengths of bacterial blight are the average values of 8–24 inoculated leaves with standard deviations

**Table 5**Survey of polymorphism between IRBB10 and IR24 usingmolecular markers on long arm of rice chromosome 11

Marker	Genetic location (cM)	Polymorphism	Restriction enzymes
C3	56.2	Yes	AccI, BstEII
RG103	83.0	ND	ND
E1126S	84.3	No	-
RZ537	84.6	No	-
C189	85.7	No	-
S723	85.7	Yes	XbaI, StuI
E1981S	88.4	Yes	HindIII, StyI
S10928	89.0	Yes	StuI, SphI
C50	89.0–90.6	Yes	HindIII, NcoI
RG1109	91.4	ND	ND
R1506	116.2	Yes	StyI

ND not detected

Genetic mapping of the *Xa10* locus with existing RFLP markers

Previously, the Xa10 locus was roughly integrated to a large region between RFLP markers RG103 (~83 cM) and RG1109 (~91.4 cM) on the long arm of rice chromosome 11 (Ramalingam et al. 2003). To detect the introgressed region in IRBB10, nine existing RFLP markers on the long arm of chromosome 11 were surveyed for polymorphism between IRBB10 and IR24 with 30 restriction enzymes. Out of seven markers flanked by RG103 and RG1109, four markers showed polymorphism covering a genetic region from 85.7 to 90.6 cM (Table 5). Markers E1126S (84.3 cM), RZ537 (84.6 cM) and C189 (85.7 cM) did not show any polymorphism (Table 5). The other two markers, C3 (56.2 cM) to the proximal side of RG103 and R1506 (116.2 cM) to the distal side of RG1109, showed polymorphism (Table 5). The polymorphism between IRBB10 and IR24 detected by these RFLP markers indicated that there were more than one introgressed regions from Cas 209 on chromosome 11L of IRBB10. The Xa10 locus may be located in the introgressed region between C189 to at least C50 at the distal end of chromosome 11L. To verify this, we carried out linkage analysis between marker E1981S and the Xa10 locus with 1,027 individuals in  $F_2$  population (Table 1). Nineteen recombinants were identified by E1981S and all these recombinants were complemented by marker S723 (Fig. 2). Thus, the Xa10 locus was mapped to the proximal side of marker E1981S with genetic distance at 0.93 cM and co-segregated with marker S723 (Fig. 2).

Saturation of the *Xa10* locus with new developed RFLP markers

To further saturate the Xa10 locus with additional molecular markers, five new RFLP markers were developed based on the available genomic sequence of Nipponbare (Table 2). These markers were used as probes in RFLP analysis to survey polymorphism between IRBB10 and IR24 using 30 restriction enzymes (Table 2). Linkage analysis was carried out using these markers and recombinants were identified from F<sub>2</sub> and F<sub>3</sub> mapping populations. M582 and M491 were the two proximal markers with six and one recombinant identified, respectively. M491 was the closest proximal marker to the Xa10 locus with genetic distance of 0.04 cM (Fig. 2). M419 and M593 were identified as two distal markers. Whereas M419 complemented thirteen of the nineteen E1981S recombinants, the marker M593 complemented only nine. Therefore, M419 was the nearest distal marker to the Xa10 locus with genetic distance at 0.24 cM. Another marker M604 co-segregated with the Xa10 locus.

In conclusion, the *Xa10* locus was genetically mapped to the region between proximal marker M491 (0.04 cM) and distal marker M419 (0.24 cM). The *Xa10* locus on the genome of Nipponbare is covered by RGP PAC clone P0480H08 (AC104847) and overlapped with CUGI BAC clone OSJNBa0029K08 (AC136148) (http://www.rgp.dna. affrc.go.jp/cgi-bin/statusdb/irgspMini.pl?chr=11) (Fig. 2). The physical distance between M491 and M419 on the genome of Nipponbare is 74 kb (Fig. 2).

#### Xa10 candidate genes

Within the 74-kb region, seven genes including one encoding 5S ribosomal RNA have been annotated (http://www.ncbi. nlm.nih.gov/entrez/viewer.fcgi?db=nuccore&id=58531198). The remaining six genes encode a protein kinase (BAF 28530), a conserved hypothetical protein (BAF28531), a DUF231 domain containing protein with unknown function (BAF28532), an ADP-ribosylation factor (BAF28533), a TPR-like domain containing protein (BAF28534) and a hypothetical protein (BAF28535), respectively. Three of them (BAF28530, BAF28531 and BAF28533) were supported by evidence of transcription. All these six expressed or predicted proteins are possible *Xa10* candidates (Fig. 2), which are discussed in the discussion section. Gene density within this region is about one gene every 10.9 kb, consistent with published predictions of one gene every 9.9 kb (International Rice Genome Sequencing Project 2005). However, it is worthy to mention that no gene was annotated in the 29-kb region between the two genes that encode BAF28531 and BAF28532 even though most of the DNA sequence in the 29-kb region possesses single copy in Nipponbare genome.



Fig. 2 High-resolution genetic map of the Xa10 locus. Genetic map of Xa10 based on linkage analysis is shown in the diagram below and recombinants/gametes are indicated. Genetic and physical maps of Rice Genome Research Program (*RGP*) at the syntenic position of the Xa10 locus are also shown. Genetic distance is given in centimorgan (*cM*). The accession numbers of cv. Nipponbare sequences are indicated for each BAC/PAC clone. The positions of the respective markers on genetic and physical maps are denoted with lines. *Bars* for genetic and physical distances are indicated. Six putative Xa10 candidate genes, which were annotated by International Rice Genome

# Discussion

IRBB10 was developed as a near-isogenic line of the Xa10 gene in IR24 genetic background (Ogawa et al. 1988). However, there was extensive polymorphism on chromosome 11 L between IRBB10 and IR24. In addition, we found that there is great distortion in the Xa10 inheritance. The distortion was not due to the presence of two linked Rgenes that recognize the same AvrXa10 from PXO99<sup>A</sup> (pHM1avrXa10) as the two closely linked RFLP markers, S723 and M604, always co-segregated with resistant plants (Xa10 plants) in linkage analysis. A putative locus, which might come from Cas 209 and links with Xa10 in IRBB10, affected the fertility of heterozygous Xa10 plants, resulted in fewer susceptible progeny, and, therefore, caused the distortion in the Xa10 inheritance. Elimination of this locus as well as the other unrelated introgressed regions did not change Xa10 resistance specificity, which led to the development of better near-isogenic line of the Xa10 in IR24 genetic background. The high-resolution genetic map at the Xa10 locus and closely linked markers identified in this study will facilitate the isolation of the Xa10 gene by positional cloning as well as marker-assisted selection of the Rgene in breeding program.

The high-resolution mapping allowed us to narrow down *Xa10* to the region between RFLP markers M491 and M419. The corresponding physical distance between these two markers on Nipponbare genome is 74 Kb. This region has seven genes and six of them encode possible *Xa10* 

Sequencing Project (*IRGSP*), are shown above the physical map between makers M491 and M419 with arrows indicating the orientation of transcription. Proteins encoded by these candidate genes are as follows: *I* protein kinase (BAF28530), *2* conserved hypothetical protein (BAF28531); *3* DUF231 domain containing protein with unknown function (BAF28532), *4* ADP-ribosylation factor (BAF28533), *5* TPRlike domain containing protein (BAF28534), *6* hypothetical protein (BAF28535). The gene encoding 5S ribosomal RNA, which was annotated between *Xa10* candidate gene 5 and 6, is not shown

candidates with protein identity numbers from BAF28530 to BAF28535 in Genbank.

BAF28530 is a putative serine/threonine kinase (STK). So far, only Pto, a *R* protein from tomato, was identified to be serine/threonine-protein kinase (Martin et al. 1993). Two leucine-rich-repeat (LRR) receptor-like kinase proteins, XA21 and FLS2, have a cytoplasmic serine/threonine kinase region (Gomez-Gomez and Boller 2000; Song et al. 1995). Both Pto and the kinase domains of XA21 and FLS2 are involved in signal transduction and required for resistance. In addition, the barley *R* protein Rpg1 for resistance to stem rust also contains serine/threonine kinase domains (Brueggeman et al, 2006). However, BAF28530 shows very low similarity to these kinases.

BAF28531 shows medium level of similarity to RNA polymerase II transcription elongation factor DSIF/SUPT5H/SPT5 (ISS) of the smallest free-living eukaryote *Ostreococcus tauri* (Derelle et al. 2006). Although none of the transcription elongation factors of host plants are known to be involved in disease response, it has been shown that bacterial elongation factor Tu (EF-Tu), which is perceived by receptor kinase EFR (Zipfel et al. 2006), elicits innate immunity in *Arabidopsis* (Kunze et al. 2004). In another example, mutations in ABO1/ELO2, a subunit of holo-elongator, increase abscisic acid sensitivity and drought tolerance in *Arabidopsis* (Chen et al. 2006).

The amino acid sequence of BAF28532 shows high identity to several uncharacterized leaf senescence proteinlike proteins of rice. It contains two DUF231 domains (DUF, <u>domain of unknown function</u>). Proteins with DUF domains belong to a large protein family in *Arabidopsis thaliana* as well as in rice. *Arabidopsis* ESK1 has a conserved DUF231 domain and its mutation showed freezing tolerance (Xin and Browse 1998; Xin et al. 2007).

BAF28533 is an ADP-ribosylation factor (ARF)-like protein. The small G proteins of the ARF family are key regulators of membrane dynamics and vesicle trafficking (Nie et al. 2003; Memon 2004). Accelerated vesicle traffic is associated with a polarized cell wall-associated defense in plants (Bestwick et al. 1995; Collins et al. 2003). Components in plant vesicle trafficking pathway may also be targeted by virulence factors of pathogens. Recently, HopM1, a conserved Pseudomonas syringae virulence protein, was found to target an ARF-associated protein, AtMIN7, in Arabidopsis thaliana and mediate the destruction of AtMIN7 via the host proteasome (Nomura et al. 2006). ARF was also found to be up regulated in resistant cultivar Remo of domestic apple (Malus domestica) (Degenhardt et al. 2005). Over-expression of NtARF or rice ADP-ribosylation factor 1 in tobacco plants induced pathogenesis-related genes and exhibited hypersensitive response phenotype and enhanced resistance to pathogen attack (Lee et al. 2003; Lee and Sano 2007).

BAF28534 contains 12 tandem pentatricopeptide repeats (PPRs). PPRs are degenerate motifs, each with 35-aminoacid sequences, and are present in tandem arrays of 2–27 repeats per protein (Saha et al. 2007). Functional studies on different PPR proteins have revealed their role in organellar RNA processing, fertility restoration in CMS plants, embryogenesis, and plant development (Saha et al. 2007). PPR proteins hold common features with most abundant type of plant *R* proteins, nucleotide binding site and leucine-rich repeats (NBS-LRR) type *R* proteins (Geddy and Brown 2007). However, so far, no PPR protein was reported to be involved in plant defense.

Finally, BAF28535 is a hypothetical protein with no functional domain predicted based on its amino acid sequences.

Recently, the AvrXa10-like type-III effectors were also described as transcription activator-like (TAL) effectors (Yang et al. 2006; Sugio et al. 2007). TAL effectors each possess central repetitive regions that vary in repeat number and specific repeat sequence as well as three highly conserved C-terminal nuclear localization signals (NLS), and C-terminal acidic transcription activator-like domain (AD). TAL effectors are associated with phenotypes that contribute to strain virulence, disease symptoms, and host recognition and resistance responses, the latter also known as avirulence activity. So far, the induction of four host genes has been characterized to be specifically regulated by the TAL effectors from *Xoo* strain PXO99<sup>A</sup> (Gu et al. 2005; Sugio et al. 2007; Yang et al. 2006). For example, expression of the dominant rice *R* gene *Xa27* is specifically

induced by AvrXa27 and resulted in a hypersensitive resistance reaction (Gu et al. 2005). However, the Xa27 protein (XA27) is unrelated to any previously characterized *R*-gene products. The structural analysis of XA27 provides little or no clues as to the mode-of-action of the protein. In another example, the specific induction of rice gene Os8N3 by PthXo1 is required for full strain virulence and increased host disease susceptibility, respectively (Yang et al. 2006). The recessive alleles of Os8N3, collectively known as xa13, interferes with Os8N3 induction during infection by PXO99<sup>A</sup> and results in resistance to strains that rely on PthXo1 for virulence (Chu et al. 2006; Yang et al. 2006). Interestingly, the Os8N3/XA13 protein, which is a plasma membrane associated protein of MtN3 family, is also required for pollen development. Recently, the rice OsTFX1, a bZIP transcription factor gene, and OsTFIIAy1, a gene encoding the small subunit of the transcription factor IIA, were identified to be specifically induced by TAL effectors PthXo6 and PthXo7, respectively, for host susceptibility to disease (Sugio et al. 2007). In all these cases, it is their promoters that determine resistance or susceptibility specificity and may be recognized by the TAL effectors, while the gene products show great diversity. Previous studies indicate that AvrXa10 has TAL effector function (Zhu et al. 1998, 1999). Based on the protein features of Xa10 candidates and AvrXa10 function, the Xa10 gene may encode a new kind of R protein for resistance to bacterial blight, whose expression may be regulated by AvrXa10.

Acknowledgments The authors would like to thank X. Y. Foo for assistance, F. F. White for *Xa10* germplasm and *X. oryzae* pv. *oryzae* strain PXO99<sup>A</sup>(pHM1avrXa10), J. E. Leach and Q. Zhang for other *X. oryzae* pv. *oryzae* strains, S. McCouch and T. Sasaki for rice RFLP markers, and M. L. Goh for critical reading of the manuscript. This work was supported by the intramural research funds from Temasek Life Sciences Laboratory (to Z.Yin) and a grant from the Agri-Food, and Veterinary Authority of Singapore (to Z.Yin). K. Gu was supported by Postdoctoral Fellowship from Singapore Millennium Foundation.

#### References

- Bestwick CS, Bennett MH, Mansfield JW (1995) Hrp mutant of *Pseudomonas syringae* pv *phaseolicola* induces cell wall alterations but not membrane damage leading to the hypersensitive reaction in lettuce. Plant Physiol 108:503–516
- Brueggeman R, Drader T, Kleinhofs A (2006) The barley serine/threonine kinase gene Rpg1 providing resistance to stem rust belongs to a gene family with five other members encoding kinase domains. Theor Appl Genet 113:1147–1158
- Chen H, Wang S, Zhang Q (2002) a new gene for bacterial blight resistance in rice located on chromosome 12 identified from Minghui 63, an elite restorer line. Phytopathology 92:750–754
- Chen Z, Zhang H, Jablonowski D, Zhou X, Ren X, Hong X, Schaffrath R, Zhu JK, Gong Z (2006) Mutations in ABO1/ELO2, a subunit of holo-elongator, increase abscisic acid sensitivity and drought tolerance in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Mol Cell Biol 26:6902–6912

- Chu ZH, Yuan M, Yao JL, Ge XJ, Yuan B, Xu CG, Li XH, Fu BY, Li ZK, Bennetzen JL, Zhang QF, Wang SP (2006) Promoter mutations of an essential gene for pollen development result in disease resistance in rice. Genes Dev 20:1–5
- Collins NC, Thordal-Christensen H, Lipka V, Bau S, Kombrink E, Qiu J-L, Hückelhoven R, Stein M, Freialdenhoven A, Somerville SC, Schulze-Lefert P (2003) SNARE-protein-mediated disease resistance at the plant cell wall. Nature 425:973–977
- Degenhardt J, Al-Masri AN, Kurkcuoglu S, Szankowski I, Gau AE (2005) Characterization by suppression subtractive hybridization of transcripts that are differentially expressed in leaves of apple scab-resistant and susceptible cultivars of *Malus domestica*. Mol Gen Genomics 273:326–335
- Dellaporta SL, Wood J, Hicks JB (1983) A plant DNA minipreparation: version II. Plant Mol Biol Rep 1:19–21
- Derelle E, Ferraz C, Rombauts S, Rouze P, Worden AZ, Robbens S, Partensky F, Degroeve S, Echeynie S, Cooke R, Saeys Y, Wuyts J, Jabbari K, Bowler C, Panaud O, Piegu B, Ball S, Ral JP, Bouget FY, Piganeau G, De Baets B, Picard A, Delseny M, Demaille J, Van de Peer Y, Moreau H (2006) Genome analysis of the smallest free-living eukaryote *Ostreococcus tauri* unveils many unique features. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 103:11647–11652
- Flor HH (1971) Current status of the gene-for-gene concept. Annu Rev Phytopathol 9:275–296
- Gao DY, Xu ZG, Chen ZY, Sun LH, Sun QM, Lu F, Hu BS, Liu YF, Tang LH (2001) Identification of a new gene for resistance to bacterial blight in a somaclonal mutant HX-3 (*indica*). Rice Genet Newsl 18:66–68
- Geddy R, Brown GG (2007) Genes encoding pentatricopeptide repeat (PPR) proteins are not conserved in location in plant genomes and may be subject to diversifying selection. BMC Genomics 8:130
- Gnanamanickam SS, Pryiyadarasani V, Narayanan NN, Vasudevan P, Kavitha (1999) an overview of bacterial blight disease of rice and strategies for management. Curr Sci 77:1435–1444
- Gomez-Gomez L, Boller T (2000) FLS2: an LRR receptor like kinase involved in the perception of the bacterial elicitor flagellin in *Arabidopsis*. Mol Cell 5:1003–1011
- Gu K, Tian D, Yang F, Wu L, Sreekala C, Wang D, Wang GL, Yin Z (2004) High-resolution genetic mapping of *Xa27(t)*, a new bacterial blight resistance gene in rice, *Oryza sativa* L. Theor Appl Genet 108:800–807
- Gu K, Yang B, Tian D, Wu L, Wang D, Sreekala C, Yang F, Chu Z, Wang GL, White FF, Yin Z (2005) R gene expression induced by a type-III effector triggers disease resistance in rice. Nature 435:1122–1125
- Hopkins CM, White FF, Choi SH, Guo A, Leach JE (1992) Identification of a family of avirulence genes from *Xanthomonas oryzae* pv. *oryzae*. Mol Plant-Microbe Inter 5:451–459
- International Rice Genome Sequencing Project (2005) The map-based sequence of the rice genome. Nature 436:793–800
- Iyer AS, McCouch SR (2004) The rice bacterial blight resistance gene xa5 encodes a novel form of disease resistance. Mol Plant-Microbe Inter 17:1348–1354
- Kauffman HE, Reddy APK, Hsieh SPY, Merca SD (1973) An improved technique for evaluating resistance to rice varieties of *Xanthomonas oryzae*. Plant Dis Rep 57:537–541
- Khush GS, Angeles ER (1999) A new gene for resistance to race 6 of bacterial blight in rice, *Oryza sativa* L. Rice Genet Newsl 16:92–93
- Khush GS, Mackill DJ, Sidhu GS (1989) Breeding rice for resistance to bacterial blight. In: Bacterial blight of rice, International Rice Research Institute, Manila, pp 207–217
- Kinoshita T (1995) Report of Committee on gene symbolization, nomenclature and linkage groups. Rice Genet Newsl 12:9–115
- Kunze G, Zipfel C, Robatzek S, Niehaus K, Boller T, Felix G (2004) The N terminus of bacterial elongation factor Tu elicits innate immunity in *Arabidopsis* plants. Plant Cell 16:3496–3507

- Lee MH, Sano H (2007) Attenuation of the hypersensitive response by an ATPase associated with various cellular activities (AAA) protein through suppression of a small GTPase, ADP ribosylation factor, in tobacco plants. Plant J 51:127–139
- Lee WY, Hong JK, Kim CY, Chun HJ, Park HC, Kim JC Yun DJ, Chung WS, Lee SH, Lee SY, Cho MJ, Lim CO (2003) Over-expressed rice ADP-ribosylation factor 1 (RARF1) induces pathogenesis-related genes and pathogen resistance in tobacco plants. Physiol Plant 119:573–581
- Lin XH, Zhang DP, Xie YF, Gao HP, Zhang Q (1996) Identifying and mapping a new gene for bacterial blight resistance in rice based on RFLP markers. Phytopathology 86:1156–1159
- Martin GB, Frary A, Wu T, Brommonschenkel S, Chunwongse J, Earle ED, Tanksley SD (1993) Map-based cloning of a protein kinase gene conferring disease resistance in tomato. Science 262:1432– 1436
- Memon AR (2004) The role of ADP-ribosylation factor and SAR1 in vesicular trafficking in plants. Biochim Biophys Acta 1664(1):9–30
- Mew TW (1987) Current status and future prospects of research on bacterial blight of rice. Annu Rev Phytopathol 25:359–382
- Mew TW, Vera Cruz CM, Reyes RC (1982) Interaction of *Xanthomo*nas campestris pv. oryzae and a resistant rice cultivar. Phytopathology 72:786–789
- Nie Z, Hirsch DS, Randazzo PA (2003) Arf and its many interactors. Curr Opin Cell Biol 15(4):396–404
- Nomura K, Debroy S, Lee YH, Pumplin N, Jones J, He SY (2006) A bacterial virulence protein suppresses host innate immunity to cause plant disease. Science 313(5784):220–223
- Ogawa T, Yamamoto T, Khush GS, Mew TW, Kaku H (1988) Nearisogenic lines as international differentials for resistance to bacterial blight of rice. Rice Genet Newsl 5:106–107
- Ou SH (1985) Rice disease, 2nd edn. Commonwealth Mycology Institute, New England
- Ramalingam J, Cruz CMV, Kukreja K, Chittoor JM, Wu JL, Lee SW, Baraoidan M, George ML, Cohen MB, Hulbert SH, Leach JE, Leung H (2003) Candidate defense genes from rice, barley, and maize and their association with qualitative and quantitative resistance in rice. Mol Plant-Microbe Inter 16:14–24
- Ronald PC, Albano B, Tabien R, Abenes L, Wu KS, McCouch S, Tanksley SD (1992) Genetic and physical analysis of the rice bacterial blight disease resistance locus, *Xa21*. Mol Gen Genet 236(1):113–120
- Saha D, Prasad AM, Srinivasan R (2007) Pentatricopeptide repeat proteins and their emerging roles in plants. Plant Physiol Biochem 45:521–534
- Sambrook J, Fritsch EF, Maniatis T (1989) Molecular cloning: a laboratory manual, 2nd edn. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Cold Spring Harbor
- Song WY, Wang GL, Chen LL, Kim HS, Pi LY, Holsten T, Gardner J, Wang B, Zhai WX, Zhu LH, Fauquet C, Ronald P (1995) A receptor kinase-like protein encoded by the rice disease resistance gene, *Xa21*. Science 270:1804–1806
- Sugio A, Yang B, Zhu T, White FF (2007) Two type III effector genes of *Xanthomonas oryzae* pv. *oryzae* control the induction of the host genes OsTFIIAgamma1 and OsTFX1 during bacterial blight of rice. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 104(25):10720–10725
- Sun XL, Cao YL, Yang ZF, Xu CG, Li XH, Wang SP, Zhang QF (2004) Xa26, a gene conferring resistance to Xanthomonas oryzae pv. oryzae in rice, encoding an LRR receptor kinase-like protein. Plant J 37:517–527
- Xiang Y, Cao Y, Xu C, Li X, Wang S (2006) *Xa3*, conferring resistance for rice bacterial blight and encoding a receptor kinase-like protein, is the same as *Xa26*. Theor Appl Genet 113:1347–1355
- Xin Z, Browse J (1998) eskimol mutants of *Arabidopsis* are constitutively freezing-tolerant. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 95:7799–7804

- Xin Z, Mandaokar A, Chen J, Last RL, Browse J (2007) Arabidopsis ESK1 encodes a novel regulator of freezing tolerance. Plant J 49:786–799
- Yang B, Zhu W, Johnson LB, White FF (2000) The virulence factor AvrXa7 of Xanthomonas oryzae pv. oryzae is a type III secretion pathway-dependent nuclear-localized double-stranded DNAbinding protein. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 97:9807–9812
- Yang Z, Sun X, Wang S, Zhang Q (2003) Genetic and physical mapping of a new gene for bacterial blight resistance in rice. Theor Appl Genet 106:1467–1472
- Yang B, Sugio A, White FF (2006) Os8N3 is a host disease-susceptibility gene for bacterial blight of rice. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 103:10503–10508
- Yoshimura A, Mew TW, Khush GS, Moura T (1983) Inheritance of resistance to bacterial blight in rice cultivar Cas 209. Phytopathology 73:1409–1412
- Yoshimura S, Nelson R, Yoshimura A, Mew TW, Iwata N (1992a) RFLP mapping of the bacterial blight resistance genes *Xa-3* and *Xa-4*. Rice Genet Newslett 9:136–138
- Yoshimura S, Yoshimura A, Saito A, Kishimoto N, Kawase M, Yano M, Nakagahra M, Ogawa T, Iwata N (1992b) RFLP analysis of introgressed chromosomal segments in three near-isogenic lines of rice for bacterial blight resistance genes, *Xa-1*, *Xa-3* and *Xa-4*. Jpn J Genet 67:29–37
- Yoshimura S, Yoshimura A, Iwata N, McCouch SR, Abenes ML, Baraoidan MR, Mew TW, Nelson RJ (1995) Tagging and

combining bacterial blight resistance genes in rice using RAPD and RFLP markers. Mol Breed 1:375–387

- Yoshimura S, Yamanouchi U, Katayose Y, Toki S, Wang ZX, Kono I, Kurata N, Yano M, Iwata N, Sasaki T (1998) Expression of *Xa1*, a bacterial blight-resistance gene in rice, is induced by bacterial inoculation. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 95:1663–1668
- Zhang Q, Lin SC, Zhao BY, Wang CL, Yang WC, Zhou YL, Li DY, Chen CB Zhu LH (1998) Identification and tagging a new gene for resistance to bacterial blight (*Xanthomonas oryzae* pv. *oryzae*) from *O. rufipogon*. Rice Genet Newslett 15:138–141
- Zhang Q, Wang CL, Zhao KJ, Zhao YL, Caslana VC, Zhu XD, Li DY, Jiang QX (2001) The effectiveness of advanced rice lines with new resistance gene Xa23 to rice bacterial blight. Rice Genet Newslett 18:71–72
- Zhu W, Yang B, Chittoor JM, Johnson LB, White FF (1998) AvrXA10 contains an acidic transcriptional activation domain in the functionally conserved C terminus. Mol Plant-Microbe Interact 11:824–832
- Zhu W, Yang B, Wills N, Johnson LB, White FF (1999) The C terminus of AvrXa10 can be replaced by the transcriptional activation domain of VP16 from the herpes simplex virus. Plant Cell 11:1665–1674
- Zipfel C, Kunze G, Chinchilla D, Caniard A, Jones JDG, Boller T, Felix G (2006) Perception of the bacterial PAMP EF-Tu by the receptor EFR restricts *Agrobacterium*-mediated transformation. Cell 125:749–760